

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.16415 of 2017
Date of Decision: 10.05.2017

BACHAN SINGH

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to protect his life and personal liberty from being invaded by the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that one Kartar Singh was in actual physical possession of the land. After his death, petitioner along with his family members came in actual physical possession of the suit land. When the private respondents threatened to interfere in the possession of the petitioner, the petitioner filed a civil suit for permanent injunction which was decreed by the trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 28.02.2017 and the defendant/respondent No.5 was restrained from interfering in possession of the petitioner. Despite the decree in favour of the petitioner, the same was

violated and the standing crop of the petitioner was harvested by respondent No.5.

Learned counsel further submitted that in the context of grievance of the petitioner, a complaint was moved before SHO concerned and thereafter, owing to the inaction on the part of the SHO, a representation was filed before the Deputy Commissioner.

Apparently, no representation was filed by the petitioner before Senior Superintendent of Police. Faced with this situation, learned counsel submitted that the petitioner would file appropriate representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police and he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

10.05.2017
jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No