

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-16405 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Anjuman and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the notice issued, though no process fee has been filed by the petitioners, Mr. Surinder Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, has filed in Court today a reply by way of an affidavit of Sh. Om Parkash, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Punhana, stating to the effect that as per enquiry conducted, the date of birth of petitioner no.1 is 07.09.1999 as per her School Leaving Certificate.

Though respondents no.4 to 10 have stated before the police that they will not endanger the lives and liberty of the petitioners and will abide by the directions of this Court, Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate, appearing for respondents no.4 and 5, submits that as a matter of fact, the said respondents do object to the marriage of the petitioners with each other, as

petitioner no.1 was earlier married to one Anish, who was impleaded as respondent no.11 by this Court vide its order dated 09.05.2017.

Upon the aforesaid contention raised by the learned counsel for respondents no.4 and 5, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners may be permitted to withdraw this petition, in view of the fact that the statements of respondents no.4 to 10 are stated to have been recorded before the police to the effect that they would not cause any danger to the lives and liberty of the petitioners.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn, but with an observation that in view of the fact that petitioner no.1 is stated to have allegedly entered into matrimony earlier with respondent no.11, though possibly not as per her wishes, which again is only an observation and will not be taken to be a finding of this Court, all proceedings initiated as per law, would continue if initiated by a competent person.

May 30, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No