

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-16399-2017 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 10.07.2017

Rambir alias Ramu ..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana .....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr.R.N.Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

SURINDER GUPTA, J. (ORAL):

Heard.

FIR in this case was registered on the complaint of Naveen whose brother Jitender was shot dead by Sandeep son of Ram Kumar who, at the time of incident, was accompanied by Kala and one unknown person. The complainant in the FIR has stated that he can identify that unknown person who was present with Sandeep and asked him to shoot Jitender as they have been after this job of killing him for the last few days. During the trial the complainant and eye witnesses have identified the petitioner and 8 out of 20 witnesses have been examined by now.

Keeping in view the above fact, I find no reason to extend benefit of bail to petitioner at this stage.

Dismissed.

July 10, 2017  
sunita

( SURINDER GUPTA )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No