

201.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16371-2017

Date of decision:26.07.2017

POOJA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate,
for Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.20 dated 15.02.2017, under Sections 306, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.5, Jalandhar.

This Court vide order dated 10.05.2017, while issuing notice of motion, has granted ad-interim bail to the petitioner subject to joining the investigation as and when directed by the Investigating Agency/Investigating Officer and to abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to order dated 10.05.2017, petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned State counsel, who is assisted by ASI Amrik Lal, has stated that in terms of the above order though the petitioner has joined investigation, but photographs are yet to be recovered.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The recovery of photographs itself cannot be the basis for the culmination of the trial. Furthermore, in the similar circumstances, the husband of the petitioner, namely, Naveen Kumar has been admitted on interim bail by this Court which was made absolute vide order dated 27.04.2017 passed in CRM-M-12572-2017 titled as 'Naveen Kumar Versus State of Punjab'.

In view of above, present petition is allowed and interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 10.05.2017 are made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

26.07.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.