

**CRM-M-16355-2017 and
CRM-M-16364-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 25.05.2017

1. CRM-M-16355-2017

Pardeep

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-16364-2017

Ritesh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas P. Singh, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRM-M-16355-2017.

Mr. Rajiv Panghal, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRM-M-16364-2017.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-16355-2017, filed by
petitioner-Pardeep and CRM-M-16364-2017, filed by petitioner-Ritesh,
under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.293

**CRM-M-16355-2017 and
CRM-M-16364-2017**

dated 11.12.2016, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Bhiwani, District Bhiwani, under Sections 420, 120-B, 379, 468, 471, 201 and 212 IPC and Section 66-D of I.T. Act.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested both these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, a candidate with name Narender Kumar bearing roll No.1510834447 was appearing in the Exam for the post of Clerk on 11.12.2016 in Evening Shift (3.00 P.M. To 4.30 P.M.). While he was in the room, the invigilator found a small chit of answer key for Set-A in carbon copy form. The petitioners have been nominated at the instance of co-accused. However, nothing incriminating has been recovered from them.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 10.05.2017 passed by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 10.05.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners

**CRM-M-16355-2017 and
CRM-M-16364-2017**

shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide
by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

25.05.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No