

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: 07.03.2017**

**RSA No.2229 of 1991**

Sahib Singh

...Appellant

Vs.

Haryana State

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Ram Tilak Redhu, DAG, Haryana.

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)**

The appellant was a Conductor in the Haryana Roadways and was charge-sheeted for issuing less tickets in a sum of ₹24.30 paise to three passengers travelling from Amritsar to Ambala Cantt. Both the Courts have found from evidence on record that the inquiry conducted into the charges was fair and proper and the plaintiff-appellant was given full opportunity to produce evidence in his evidence, which he failed to do. There are concurrent findings in the courts below that the enquiry was conducted in a perfectly legal manner and thus the question of selecting the doze of punishment remains the prerogative of the Punishing Authority and part and parcel of power vested in managerial functions. The appellant was dismissed from service on a charge of corruption. I do not find any legal infirmity in the judgments and decree of the Courts below, which may give rise to any substantial question of law and I would, thus, dismiss this appeal as devoid of merit.

**07.03.2017**

Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*

*Yes*

*Whether Reportable:*

*No*