

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

303

**CWP-4888-1998 (O&M)
Date of decision:05.09.2017**

Sukhbir Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

IRCON International Limited, New Delhi and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. D.S.Bali, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Jain, Advocate,
Mr. Parmod Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Mayur Kanwar, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners No.2, 4, 5, 11 to 30, 31, 32, 34, 38, 40 have been extended benefit of VRS. Insofar as petitioners No. 1,3, 6 to 10, 33, 39, 41 and 42, is concerned their services have been regularized during pendency of this petition. In view of the later development to the extent that some of the petitioners were permitted to accept VRS and remaining employees' services have been regularized, instant petition do not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, CWP stands disposed of.

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

05.09.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No