

Shokat v. State of Haryana

Present: Mr.Arjun Atri, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the State submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation, the knife and axe stated to have been used in slaughtering the cows, is to be recovered from him.

It is to be again noticed what had been stated in the order dated 10.5.2017, that the petitioner is not stated to be either the owner or the driver of the vehicle that was apprehended and is only stated to be a person who allegedly ran away after getting down from the vehicle, and was identified by name by `a secret informer`.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Dharminder Singh, HC, submits that there is also another FIR registered against the petitioner for a similar offence.

It is, however, seen that as per the FIR itself, there were four police personnel on a government vehicle present at the spot when the vehicle was stated to have been taken into custody and the petitioner had allegedly run away.

It is also to be noticed that the petition seeking anticipatory bail by the owner of the vehicle has already been dismissed by this Court, however, as regards the present petitioner, in the aforesaid circumstances, I see no reason to not make the interim order dated 10.5.2017 absolute, on the same conditions.

Ordered accordingly.

May 17, 2017
KD

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge