

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-16310 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:08.08.2017

VIJAY KUMAR AND ANR.

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl.A.G., Haryana

Mr. J.S. Mendiratta, Advocate for the complainant(s).

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.593 dated 29.12.2016, under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 468, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station, City Kaithal, District Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are bona fide purchasers of the house which was purchased from Sarita Goyal on 13.10.2010 which was later sold by them to the complainant. He further states that the original owner Sarita Goyal had taken loan from LIC Housing and Finance Company which was not mentioned in the sale deed executed in favour of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from HC Ram Kumar, states that in terms of the previous order of this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and no recovery is to be effected from them.

In view of the above, the order dated 17.05.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

August 08, 2017

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No