

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.16295 of 2017
Date of Decision: 10.05.2017

KRISHAN AND ANOTHER

-PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Tushar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 for registration of a criminal case for the appropriate offences on the basis of complaint dated 18.04.2017 submitted before respondent No.2 with a copy to respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that despite receipt of aforesaid complaint by respondents No.2 and 3, no action has been taken till date.

Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied if the pending representation before respondent No.2 is decided by way of passing a speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ripudaman, A.A.G.,

Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioner in the form of pending representation before him. If some cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then respondent No.3 can be obligated to act in accordance with law and if no such offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioner can be informed in view of parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

10.05.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No