

242

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-17199-2016  
Date of Decision: 03.03.2017**

Neeraj Dhalla and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Hari Om Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Neeru Bansal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2-complainant.

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)**

1. In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in FIR No.32 dated 04.03.2016 registered under Sections 279, 336, 427, 506 & 34 IPC and Section 29 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 at Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula, have prayed for quashing of FIR with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Earlier vide order dated 18.05.2016, this Court had referred the matter to Ld. trial Court for the purpose of verifying the factum of compromise relied upon by the petitioner. Report of Ld. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula, dated 24.08.2016 has since been received along with copies of the statements of the parties recorded by her, and from which it transpires that the dispute between them arising out of the alleged occurrence/offences has since been amicably compromised.

3. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543* and *Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending since the victim has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons and has furnished an affidavit to this effect.

4. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.32 dated 04.03.2016 of Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula registered under Sections 279, 336, 427, 506 & 34 IPC and Section 29 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

**03.03.2017**

*Bhumika*

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No