

**CRM-M-16272-2017,
CRM-M-16792-2017 and
CRM-M-16884-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:31.05.2017

1. CRM-M-16272-2017 (O & M)

Gurmeet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-16792-2017 (O & M)

Davinder Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

3. CRM-M-16884-2017 (O & M)

Gurdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners in all these petitions.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

**CRM-M-16272-2017,
CRM-M-16792-2017 and
CRM-M-16884-2017**

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

**CRM-18526-2017 in CRM-M-16272-2017,
CRM-18525-2017 in CRM-M-16792-2017 and
CRM-18524-2017 in CRM-M-16884-2017**

All these applications have been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for addition of Section 326 IPC in the head-note and prayer clause of the main petitions.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since Section 326 IPC has been added later on, therefore, it could not be mentioned in the head-note as well as in the prayer clause of these petitions.

Heard.

For the reasons, mentioned in the applications, the same are allowed. Registry is directed to add Section 326 IPC in the head-note and prayer clause of the main petitions.

**CRM-M-16272-2017,
CRM-M-16792-2017 and
CRM-M-16884-2017**

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-16272-2017, filed by petitioners-Gurmeet Kaur and Tarantej Singh @ Tejpal Singh, CRM-M-16792-2017, filed by petitioners-Davinder Singh and Paramjit Singh and CRM-M-16884-2017, filed by petitioner-Gurdeep Singh, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.45 dated 18.04.2017, registered at Police Station Julkan, District Patiala, under Sections 324, 323, 326, 379, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

**CRM-M-16272-2017,
CRM-M-16792-2017 and
CRM-M-16884-2017**

Notice of motion was issued in all these petitions. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested all these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, only *lalkara* has been attributed to the petitioners in CRM-M-16272-2017.

Similarly in the FIR, *lalkara* has been attributed to the petitioners in CRM-M-16792-2017. Neither they are stated to be armed with any weapon nor any injury has been attributed to them. However, during the investigation, it is found that they were armed with *dang* and caused simple injuries.

So far as petitioner in CRM-M-16884-2017 is concerned, he has been stated to be armed with pistol, but he did not fire from the pistol. No injury has been attributed to him.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 09.05.2017 in CRM-M-16272-2017 and dated 11.05.2017 in CRM-M-16792-2017 and CRM-M-16884-2017 passed by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from them. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without

**CRM-M-16272-2017,
CRM-M-16792-2017 and
CRM-M-16884-2017**

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in all these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 09.05.2017 in CRM-M-16272-2017 and dated 11.05.2017 in CRM-M-16792-2017 and CRM-M-16884-2017 passed by this Court, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

31.05.2017
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No