

CRM-M-16240-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16240-2017

Date of Decision: 09.05.2017

Ashok Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Shiv Charan Bhola, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Ashok Kumar has filed this petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to accused-respondent No.2, in FIR No.847 dated 11.10.2016, registered at Police Station Camp Palwal, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 IPC, vide order dated 17.02.2017 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Palwal.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that vide order dated 17.02.2017, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Palwal accepted the application for grant of anticipatory bail to respondent No.2-Pardeep Jain. The facts of the case show that as per FIR, the main allegations are regarding breach of agreement to sell etc. and also that on inquiry, the complainant came to

CRM-M-16240-2017

know that some of the land had already been acquired by the Railway Department prior to the execution of the agreement to sell. Perusal of the record shows that the impugned order dated 17.02.2017 has been passed as per law. Nothing has been shown that any illegality has been committed by learned Additional Sessions Judge while granting anticipatory bail and nothing has been pointed out that respondent No.2 has misused the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above discussion, I do not find any ground to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Palwal. Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

09.05.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No