

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-16239 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 15, 2017**

GSCO Infrastructure Pvt. Limited

.....PETITIONER(s).

VERSUS

The Union Territory, Chandigarh

.....RESPONDENT(s).

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. H.S. Deol, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 is involved in doing embankment job of rivers in Bihar and services of one Kushal Nath-respondent No.4, who is resident of Rajasthan, were obtained for supplying the tractors. Kushal Nath engaged one Pusa Ram of Rajasthan for the purpose of supplying tractors and accounts were settled with Kushal Nath. Some cheques were given to Kushal Nath as security were also misappropriated. He confines his submission only for threat perception to the petitioner at the hands of private respondents in Chandigarh.

It appears that there is some business dealings of the petitioner with Kushal Nath and the matter of any threat perception to the petitioner either at Bihar or Rajasthan was not reported to the police there.

Without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh to look into the grievance of petitioner regarding security to his life and liberty and take appropriate action in accordance with law, without involving the police in any business dealing or other disputed matter between the partitioner and the persons named in the representations Annexure P-3 and P-4.

However, it is made clear that the above order will not be interpreted as providing protection to the petitioner from any legal action taken in any civil, criminal or any other proceedings initiated/pending against him in accordance with law.

May 15, 2017
Sachin M.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: ***Yes/No***

Whether Reportable: ***Yes/No***