

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9448 of 1995 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-July 26, 2017

Tilak Raj Lamba

.....Petitioner

Versus

State Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill

Present : Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. A.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. K.L. Suneja, Advocate for HUDA.

Rajesh Bindal J:

The petitioner in the present case has approached this Court with a grievance that he was to be allotted a plot measuring 211.85 Sq. yds. in terms of the settlement arrived at in a judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court, however, he was allotted plot measuring 183.33 Sq. yds. Further grievance is that the amount of development charges have been demanded from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submitted that after the plot was allotted to the petitioner, he transferred the same in favour of Anshu Kumra vide re-allotment letter dated 13.05.1997 and thereafter it was further transferred in the name of Raj Kishan Gupta, Shakuntla Devi and Rakesh Kumar Gupta vide re-allotment letter dated 21.4.2011.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that despite repeated communications, the petitioner is not responding. Keeping in view the developments, which have taken place during the pendency of the present petition where the petitioner has already sold the plot, in our opinion, the grievance of the petitioner does not survive any more.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(**Rajesh Bindal**)
Judge

July 26, 2017
pankaj

(**Gurvinder Singh Gill**)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No