

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CWP No.4673 of 1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.08.2017**

Inder Parkash & others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.R.S.Mamli, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms.Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Tribhuwan Dahiya, Advocate, for respondents No.2 & 3.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Respondent No.2 was directed to pass a speaking order regarding the entitlement of the petitioners for promotion with reference to the tentative/final seniority list, vide order dated 17.05.2017.

The order dated 26.05.2017, in compliance thereof, has been placed on record, wherein it has been mentioned that a wrong seniority was assigned inadvertently to the petitioners initially which was later on corrected when the facts came to the notice of the department and accordingly, revised seniority list of work-mates ending 05/97 was circulated on 21.06.1997, in supersession of the earlier seniority list dated 16.05.1997. It is further mentioned that petitioners have already been promoted as Assistant Linemen on fulfillment of eligibility conditions, i.e., seniority as given in the revised seniority list dated 21.06.1997 and the length of service in the board on equivalent posts. The petitioners have also been further promoted to the post of Linemen in the year 2013 as per the details which forms part of the order. Resultantly, it was held that the benefit of promotion has already been granted to the petitioners as per the seniority given in the revised seniority list.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201 CWP No.4673 of 1998 (O&M) -2-

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the said order, as such, does not meet the complete requirement of the petitioners.

However, keeping in view the observations made above and the other reasons given, it is apparent that it is always open to the petitioners to challenge the said order, if so desired by the petitioners, by giving specific instances whether the benefits have been rightly granted or not.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is disposed of, with the above-said liberty.

03.08.2017
Sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*