

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16089-2017

Date of Decision:- 24.05.2017

Shubham

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

**Present: Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular of bail to the petitioner in FIR No.85 dated 07.07.2015 under Sections 376(D), 506, Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Section 3(1) of Scheduled Caste & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, registered at Police Station Jagadhri Sadar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case, therefore, he is entitled to the concession of regular bail.

At the very outset, learned State counsel on instructions from investigating officer, has informed that out of total 24 witnesses, 20 have been examined and only formal witnesses are remaining.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations and taking into consideration the involvement of the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of regular bail and accordingly the present petition for regular bail filed by the petitioner is hereby dismissed.

Needless to mention that nothing observed, here-in-above, would reflect, in any manner, on merits of the main case, as the same has been so recorded for a limited purpose of deciding the present petition for regular bail.

May 24, 2017
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No