

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-16032 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 10, 2017

Virender Singh alias Sonu and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Jainainder Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Brijesh Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.166 dated 14.03.2017 under Sections 406, 506 IPC and Section 3 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station Central Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the allegations in the FIR, the complainant had fixed marriage of his two daughters with two brothers namely Virender Singh alias Sonu and Rahul. It is further alleged that accused demanded ₹10 lakhs

before marriage and threatened that in case demand is not fulfilled, then they would not marry complainant's daughters. As per the FIR, the complainant had spent ₹30,000/- and ₹50,000/- on Roka ceremony and engagement ceremony and amount of ₹13,000/- was transferred in the account of Rahul through RTGS by Devki, daughter of the complainant.

The petitioners have joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find it a fit case, where the petitioners are entitled to benefit of grant of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present petition is accepted and the order dated 28.06.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

August 10, 2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No