

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-15994 of 2017
Date of decision: 30.05.2017**

Gurdeep Singh Pannu and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ghulam Nabi Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 26 dated 22.12.2014, under Sections 420, 498-A, 406 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, NRI, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 18.04.2017 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Satinder Kaur-complainant (respondent No.2) to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Gurdeep Singh Pannu-petitioner No.1 on 27.02.2012 as per Sikh rites and rituals at Amantaran Palace, Dana Mandi, Ludhiana. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws (petitioners) started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. They have also committed fraud with the complainant by giving false information with

regard to the educational qualification of petitioner No.1 and his residential status in Australia. In this background, the FIR was registered.

After registration of the FIR, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has now been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated 18.04.2017 (Annexure P-2). The marriage of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 has already been dissolved vide judgment and decree dated 01.08.2016 passed by the Additional District Judge, Ludhiana.

In compliance with the orders dated 08.05.2017 passed by this Court, parties have got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report dated 25.05.2017 from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, has been received in this regard. As per report, Satinder Kaur-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 25.05.2017 to the effect that with the intervention of respectable persons, she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners out of her own free will and without any coercion or pressure. She has no objection if, the aforesaid FIR is quashed. Separate statement of Rafiq Mohammad Shah, attorney of Gurdeep Singh Pannu, Surjit Singh and Paramjit Kaur-petitioners, was also recorded on their behalf, to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view

that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 26 dated 22.12.2014, under Sections 420, 498-A, 406 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, NRI, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

30.05.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No