

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-15895 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Vicky and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per his instructions, parents of petitioner no.2, have got recorded their statement at Police Station City Nakodar, District Jalandhar, to the effect that the said respondents have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners with each other.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no such instructions and in fact the brief of the case has not been prepared though as per the report of the Registry notice stands served.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners, as also of the private respondents, continue to be protected, within the four corners of law.

However, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, or with regard to their age, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on any proceedings initiated by a competent person as per law.

May 30, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No