

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4909-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.07.2017**

Shimla Devi

... Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab State Agricultural Produce Market Board & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner and states that inspite of his best efforts, he has not been able to contact the petitioner, namely, Shimla Devi.

The instant petition was instituted in the year 1999 seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider her case for promotion to the post of Mandi Supervisor under the reservation quota for women.

Pleadings on record would indicate that the petitioner had joined service with the respondent/Punjab State Agricultural Produce Market Board in October, 1983. In all probability, she would have already superannuated by now.

It appears that by way of efflux of time, the petitioner is not interested to pursue the instant petition.

Accordingly, the instant petition is disposed of as having been

rendered infructuous.

Liberty, however, is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application seeking revival of the petition upon demonstrating that cause of action still survives.

Disposed of accordingly.

12.07.2017

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|----------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |