

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4907 of 1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision:08.11.2017

Hans Raj and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

Indian Railway Construction Co. Ltd. (IRCON) and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Jain, Advocate for respondent No.1.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

C.M. No.16050 of 2017

The application is allowed as prayed for. Speaking order dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure R-9) is taken on record.

Main case

Mr. Salil Bali, Advocate who has been representing the petitioners submits that petitioners have taken no objection from him. Petitioners are present in Court. They intend to engage Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate as on today and vakalatnama has not been filed by Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate on behalf of the petitioners.

With the consent of the parties, writ petition was heard.

In view of the speaking order dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure R-9) read with the prayer made in the civil writ petition to the extent that mandamus be issued for regularization, writ petition do not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to

the petitioner to challenge speaking order dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure R-9).

08.11.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No