

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-15761 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Shikha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Upon notice issued, Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents no.4 to 6, i.e. the parents and brother of petitioner no.1 and has stated that the said respondents have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners with each other and the statements of the petitioners, as also respondents no.4 to 6, are stated to have been recorded to that effect before the police of Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners, as also of the private respondents, continue to be protected, within the four corners of law.

However, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in

the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, or with regard to their age, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on any proceedings initiated by a competent person as per law.

May 30, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No