

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.832 of 1989(O&M)
Date of Decision:20.04.2017

SUBE SINGH AND ORS

...Petitioner

Versus

BALBIR AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: None.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

Notice of the appeal was sent to the appellant as well as to the respondents. Appellant No.1 is reported to have died and appellants No.2,3,4 and 5 are not residing at the address given in the head note of the appeal. Similarly, respondent No.1 is reported to have died. Respondent No.2 is personally served and respondent No.3 is not residing at the given address.

Despite the appeal having been called twice neither any one has appeared on behalf of the appellants nor there is any representation on behalf of the respondents. Except for the address of the appellants No. 2 to 5 available in the head note of the appeal, there is no other address available on which notice can be sent to them.

In view of the above, appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. In case the legal representatives of appellant No.1 appear or other appellants put in appearance, they will be at liberty to move an application for restoration of the appeal.

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

April 20, 2017
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No