

**CRM-M-15688-2017 and
CRM-M-15700-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:10.05.2017

1. **CRM-M-15688-2017**

Pankaj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. **CRM-M-15700-2017**

Sodhi Gill

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-15688-2017, filed by petitioner-Pankaj Kumar and CRM-M-15700-2017, filed by petitioner-Sodhi Gill, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.53 dated 09.04.2017, registered at Police Station Division No.3, Police Commissionerate Jalandhar, under Section 420 and 120-B IPC

Notice of motion was issued in these petitions. Learned State

**CRM-M-15688-2017 and
CRM-M-15700-2017**

counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through her counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the basis of complaint filed against petitioner-Pankaj Kumar wherein it is alleged that he is doing forged trading and thereby has cheated the complainant to the tune of ₹3 lakhs. It is also stated in the complaint that amount of ₹3 lakhs has not been returned to the complainant despite demand.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details; without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and in view of the facts that the petitioners have already joined the investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation, I find that no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody. Therefore, finding merits in these petitions, the same are allowed. The orders dated 05.05.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

10.05.2017

parveen kumar

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

: Yes
: No