

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15677-2017

Date of decision: 22.08.2017

Naresh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 567 dated 26.06.2016 registered under Sections 379, 414 and 411 IPC at Police Station City, Hisar.

Learned counsel for State while submitting a list of cases contends that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, but does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail as besides this case, 14 more cases under Section 379 IPC and one case under Section 174A, have been registered against the petitioner.

In view of the totality of facts and circumstances and the fact that the petitioner has been booked in 15 more cases, out of which 14 are under Section 379 IPC, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. The instant petition stands dismissed.

August 22, 2017

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No