

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-15639 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: June 01, 2017

Ravina Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Raj Kumar Narang, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order of this Court dated 25.05.2017, an affidavit of the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, has been filed in Court today by the learned State counsel, stating that as per the information gathered from the school record, petitioner no.2 was admitted in the 4th standard in the school and his date of birth is 12.09.1996, which has also been verified from the father of the said petitioner. However, on enquiry from the office of the Registrar, Births and Deaths, Kaithal, the date of birth of the said petitioner is recorded as 12.09.1994.

Thus, if the date of birth of the said petitioner is taken from the school record, on the date of the marriage, i.e. 30.04.2017, he would have been 5 months short of the legally marriageable age, but since the date of birth, i.e. 12.09.1994, as given in the petition, has been verified from the

Registrar, Births and Deaths, Kaithal, nothing further is stated on that issue.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

June 01, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No