

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15634-2017

Date of Decision : 10.05.2017

RAMANDEEP SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Hemender Goswami, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, JUDGE (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 05.05.2017, learned State counsel has produced in Court today photocopies of two affidavits in one document, which is shown to be a partnership deed entered into between the petitioner and the complainant, Pawan Kumar, on 14.02.2015 (in Gurmukhi), which simply states that a partnership exists between the parties, with no details thereof.

However, as per two affidavits executed, one by the petitioner and one by the complainant, on 08.10.2015, it is stated that the “tralla” in question, had actually been sold by the complainant to the petitioner.

Hence, once the petitioner and the complainant have stated to the effect that the vehicle having being sold, the entire liabilities etc of paying the installments and other liabilities arising out of any accident etc are those of the petitioner, as to on what basis the complainant is stating that it would be returned to him if profits are not divided, is not understood, further in view of the fact that the document shown to be a partnership deed also does not give any details.

Consequently, without making any final comment in the matter, it is considered appropriate that the petitioner be directed to join investigation and upon doing so if he is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 10, 2017
adhikari/rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No