

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15617-2017

Date of decision : 09.05.2017

Aash Mohammad

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact other than applying for the loan for a tractor and paying Rs.65,000/-, neither the sales invoice was ever issued to the petitioner, nor delivery of the tractor was ever shown to have been given to him. He contends that actually the document fabricated to show delivery of the tractor was by 3 persons who are stated to be running a firm by the name of Hindustan Motors, whose names are given in the FIR at Sr. no.9(a), 9(b) and 9(c).

Learned State counsel on specific query does not deny, on instructions from SI Durga Parsad, that the petitioners' signatures with regard to the delivery of the tractor, or even on the sale invoices, are not seen anywhere.

Keeping in view the aforesaid and the fact that the trial has just started, I consider it appropriate to admit him to bail, upon his furnishing

However, nothing stated hereinbefore by this Court shall be taken as an observation of this Court on the merits of the case, but only in terms of the contentions made in connection with the bail application.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 09, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No