

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

-.-

CRM M 1556 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.04.2017

Rajesh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Harender Singh, Advocate
for the complainant

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

CRM 13435 of 2017

Allowed as prayed for. Annexure P3 is taken on record.

CRM M 1556 of 2017

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 669 dated 23.09.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 323, 376, 498-A and 201 read with Section 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (in short, IPC) registered with Police Station Sector 5, District Gurgaon.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present FIR is the result of some differences between the husband and wife but later dispute between the complainant and her in-laws family members has been settled by way of compromise. It is further submitted that in pursuance of the compromise, the complainant has furnished a duly sworn affidavit

(Annexure P3). It is further submitted that on completion of investigation, challan has been presented in the Court and conclusion of trial may take its own time.

Counsel for the State of Haryana has expressed his inability to say some thing about compromise. However, Harender Singh, Advocate, representing the complainant would concede to the position that dispute between the complainant, her husband and other family members has been settled by way of compromise and Annexure P3 has been furnished by the complainant voluntarily.

In view of the above, without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the case, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and
- (ii) he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

April 25, 2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No