

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.15499 of 2017
Date of Decision: 05.05.2017**

Hardev Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Harsh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks issuance of appropriate directions to the respondents in the context of FIR No.235 dated 17.08.2013 under Section 365 IPC, Police Station City Sangrur registered in respect of kidnapping of Makhan Singh son of the petitioner.

Earlier a CRWP No.1613 of 2011 was filed by Makhan Singh in respect of alleged kidnapping of his wife Kamaljit Kaur. Aforesaid Kamaljit Kaur is daughter of Major Singh against whom pointed allegations were made. The said writ petition was dismissed as withdrawn on the basis of statement of Kamaljit Kaur recorded to the effect that she was living in the parental house and did not want to go elsewhere.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that thereafter the son of the petitioner started serving in Pukhraj Health Care Pvt. Ltd in Chandigarh. On 10.08.2013, the son of the petitioner went to attend Court proceedings arising out of

FIR No.64 dated 12.06.2011, which was got registered by aforesaid Major Singh and since then, his whereabouts are not known.

Petitioner filed CRM-M No.26363 of 2016 on earlier occasion, which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 03.08.2016 with a liberty to file fresh petition with better particulars. Hence this petition.

Learned counsel further submits that in the context of disappearance of son of the petitioner, he has already moved complaints before the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Sangrur and Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, but no action has been taken. Learned counsel further states that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the pending representation before Senior Superintendent of Police is directed to be decided by passing a speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl, AG, Punjab accepts notice of behalf of State.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon reply.

At this stage, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner in view of pending representation before him and pass appropriate order in accordance with law

without being influenced by any of the pleadings made in the petition and facts recorded in the preceding paras of the order. It will be appreciated if the decision is given within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

05.05.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No