

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.15496 of 2017
Date of Decision: 04.05.2017

AVTAR SINGH

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is aggrieved of investigation conducted by the Investigating Officer wherein the facts disclosed by the complainant and the witnesses have not been taken note of by the Investigating Officer. Even the representations filed by the petitioner to Inspector General of Police and Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad have gone unnoticed.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ripudaman, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the

party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of by obligating the respondent No.4 to look into the pending representations of the petitioner filed before Inspector General of Police, Fatehabad with a copy to him and decide the same in accordance with law.

04.05.2017
jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No