

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15415-2017

Date of Decision: 04.05.2017

Naresh Gupta

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent no. 3, i.e. the Commissioner of Police, to go into the grievance of the petitioner and to take a decision thereon as per law.

He further submits that though he had made a representation to the Commissioner of Police, however, since the copy of the representation is not legible, it has not been annexed with the petition.

Consequently, without going into the merits of the contentions made in the petition, in any manner whatsoever, respondent no. 3, i.e. the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, is directed to go into the matter and to take a decision as per law on any representation made by the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

The petition is disposed of, accordingly.

May 04, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.