

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRM-M-15390-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.05.2017

AMRITPAL SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.92 dated 29.03.2016, registered under Section 379-B read with Section 34 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Civil Lines, Distt. Amritsar.

Contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He is not named in the present FIR. He is in custody since 02.05.2016. Co-accused Avtar Singh has been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 26.10.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The learned State counsel vehemently opposes the present bail petition. She states that charges have been framed.

Heard.

Co-accused Avtar Singh has already been granted the bail by this Court; the petitioner is behind the bars since 02.05.2016; charges have been framed, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the conclusion of the trial may take time.

Accordingly, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

23.05.2017
ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No