

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-15378 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 23.08.2017**

Sohan Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Tanmay Gupta, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.221 dated 19.11.2015 under Sections 452/323/506 IPC registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana City (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is an offshoot of a minor altercation between the neighbours and the matter has now been resolved between the parties.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 04.05.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to the veracity of compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Ludhiana, has sent his report dated 08.06.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The parties are neighbours and the FIR is outcome of a minor dispute between the parties which has now been resolved.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.221 dated 19.11.2015 under Sections 452/323/506 IPC registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana City and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

AUGUST 23, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No