

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 113

CRM-M-15361-2017

Decided on : 03.05.2017

Nirmala and another

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 28.04.2017 (Annexure P-4) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Union Territory, Chandigarh, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Union Territory, Chandigarh, (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 28.04.2017 (Annexure P-4) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and

liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

May 03, 2017
Jyoti 1

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No