

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-1533 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 18.04.2017.

Sanjay @ Batakh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.K. Kansal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.269 dated 18.04.2016 registered under Sections 307, 216 and 120-B read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Jind City, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The petitioner has been roped in the present FIR on the strength of Section 120-B IPC. He is in custody since 05.06.2016. The material witnesses i.e. Sushil and Purushotom have now been examined.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel informs that the petitioner is involved in large number of cases. Learned State

counsel has circulated a list of cases registered against the petitioner wherein he stands acquitted except the present one. The FIR pertains to the year 2003/2004.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the material witnesses have already been examined; the petitioner has been involved on the basis of Section 120-B IPC; he is in custody since 05.06.2016 so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.04.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No