

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16239 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 19.01.2017**

**Jafruddin**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana and others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

**Present:-** Mr.Neeraj Gaur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner has come up in revision against the order dated 22.03.2016 whereby, the custody of minor prosecutrix given to the in-laws of the main accused Kadir i.e. Sh.Noor Mohd. and Smt.Noor Nisha.

A perusal of the impugned order 22.03.2016 shows that prosecutrix-Sarveena was sent to Nari Niketen, Karnal and thereafter, she appeared before the trial Court and made a statement that she does not want to go to her parental house as there she has apprehension for her life and liberty. She was major and she was allowed to accompany Sh.Noor Mohd. and Smt.Noor Nisha. The trial is still pending in this case.

Keeping in view that prosecutrix is major, no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order dated 22.03.2016. However, petitioner can take all the pleas before the trial Court.

**(RITU BAHRI)  
JUDGE**

**19.01.2017**

*anil*

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |