

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-16153 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 28, 2017

Mitter Bandhu Nanda
...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amar Vivek, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh application before the learned trial Court by specifically naming the persons to whom he wants to summon along with certified copies of relevant documents.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

If any such application is filed by the petitioner, then the learned trial Court is directed to decide the same, as per law.

August 28, 2017
rajesh k.khurana

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	No
Whether Reportable	:	No