

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16142 of 2016
Date of Decision: 06.04.2017

Satinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Harsh Manocha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

As already noticed in the order dated 27.03.2017, learned counsel for the complainant had submitted that as a matter of fact, a cancellation report has been filed on the FIR registered by the complainant, to which the complainant has no objection.

The matter had been adjourned till today only because learned counsel for the petitioner was not present on that date.

Now, learned counsel for the petitioner is also present and admits to the aforesaid fact.

Mr. Mehta has also produced in Court today an order of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dhuri, dated 24.10.2016, showing that, in fact, the cancellation report has been accepted.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered
infructuous.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

06.04.2017
adhikari

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/no

Whether reportable: Yes/no