

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-15208 OF 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 22nd SEPTEMBER, 2017**

Krishan Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Jasmeet Singh Ghumman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. D.A.G. Punjab.

Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Pursuant to order dated 04.09.2017 learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to make any statement regarding payment of ₹4,50,000/- to the complainant.

Learned counsel for the complainant disputes the receipt of money by the complainant.

On the contrary, learned State counsel has shown a receipt executed by Krishan Kumar the present petitioner, showing that the complainant has received an amount of ₹4,22,400/-. Now counsel for the petitioner disputes his signatures itself.

Be that as it may. Looking to the facts of the present case, prima facie case is made out against the petitioner. Therefore, the

petitioner cannot be given concession of anticipatory bail. Hence the petition is dismissed.

22nd SEPTEMBER, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No