

210

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15188-2017

Date of decision : 08.05.2017

Dinesh @ Sonu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Manu Loona, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from ASI Rajender, submits that as a matter of fact all prosecution witnesses have already been examined as of today.

Consequently, even though the petitioner is stated to have been in custody for approximately one year, in view of the aforesaid fact that the trial has almost reached conclusion, I see no reason to entertain this petition, at this stage.

Dismissed.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 08, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No