

**CRM-M-15092-2017 and
CRM-M-15205-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:10.05.2017

1. CRM-M-15092-2017

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-15205-2017

Ajay Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Virender Singh Punia, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-15092-2017.

Mr. Anand Chhibbar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vaibhav Sahni, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-15205-2017.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-15092-2017, filed by
petitioner-Satish Kumar and CRM-M-15205-2017, filed by petitioner-
Ajay Kumar, under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case

**CRM-M-15092-2017 and
CRM-M-15205-2017**

FIR No.293 dated 11.12.2016, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Bhiwani, District Bhiwani, under Sections 420, 120-B, 379, 468, 471, 201 and 212 IPC and Section 66-D of I.T. Act.

Notice of motion has been issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

As per the prosecution version, a candidate bearing Roll No.1510834447 with name Narender Kumar was appearing in the examination for the post of Clerk on 11.12.2016 (Evening Shift) and while he was in the room, the Invigilator found a small chit of answer key for Set-A in carbon copy form. Petitioner-Satish Kumar is stated to be a mediator between Narender Kumar and Anil Panghal, who has already been released on bail. Petitioner-Ajay Kumar is stated to be a person who installed the jammer in the examination centre.

Petitioners namely Satish Kumar and Ajay Kumar have been in custody since 26.12.2016 and 13.12.2016, respectively. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

**CRM-M-15092-2017 and
CRM-M-15205-2017**

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both these criminal miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- each with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

10.05.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No