

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15089-2017

Date of decision: September 15, 2017.

Charanjeet @ Chintu

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Shri Arjun Singh Yadav, Assostamt Advocate General, Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the CCTV footage shows that the petitioner is not found on the spot. Perusal of the reasons given by the trial court and after hearing State Counsel, it clearly seems that the petitioner formed unlawful assembly and entered the house of the complainant and they gave injuries to the complainant. Petition for regular bail is dismissed.

The trial be expedited and be completed within six months.

September 15, 2017.

kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No