

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15998-2016

Date of Decision:- 04.05.2017

Anita and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate
for Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in a case arising out of FIR No.149 dated 17.02.2016, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Karnal, District Karnal, who have been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506 and 34 IPC.

In the present case, the petitioners are mother-in-law and father-in-law of complainant-respondent No.2 (since deceased) and they have been granted the concession of interim bail, vide order dated 10.05.2016, by this Court, to enable them to join the investigation and to get the recovery effected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has handed over a demand draft of ₹30,000/- to the father of the complainant as the complainant has since been expired on 04.06.2016 by committing suicide.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the investigating officer, has informed that recovery of two rings, one gold chain and one pair of tops has been effected from the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the complainant has informed that the complainant had left the matrimonial house before February, 2016 i.e. before registration of the FIR and she is residing alone at Mohali. He submits that the recovery of two gold chains and eight pair of bangles is still to be recovered from the petitioners.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, order dated 10.05.2016, passed by this Court whereby the ad-interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioners is made absolute. The petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

However, the aspect with regard to recovery of remaining gold articles will be seen by the trial Court, after acceptance of evidence, during the course of trial.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

May 04, 2017
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No