

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3649 of 1998

Date of Decision:-21.03.2017.

Ramesh Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Electricity Board and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate for

Mr. R.S. Chahar, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioners have prayed for directions to the respondents to frame a policy relating to regularization of their services. It is learnt that during pendency of this petition, the concerned authorities have evolved policy relating to regularization of part-time *ad hoc* employees those who are working in the then Haryana Electricity Board. Therefore, the petitioners are permitted to approach the concerned authority for the purpose of regularization if their services are yet to be regularized. If such a representation is made by the petitioners for regularization, the competent authority is directed to consider their grievance with reference to regularization policy and dispose of their representation by passing a speaking order. The above exercise shall be

completed within a period of four months from today.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 21, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.