

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14924-2017

Date of decision : 05.05.2017

Paramjit Singh @ Bhapla

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms.Sheenu Sura, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that he took the side of his father and eventually had killed his mother by drowning her in a canal, allegedly because she used to object to his father drinking too much alcohol and was allegedly selling intoxicants, though (allegedly) there is no substantial evidence to that effect.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has been in custody since April 2016, which fact is not denied by learned State counsel on instructions.

On further query, Mr.Pannu, learned Deputy Advocate General, submits that out of 20 witnesses, 3 have been examined, including the complainant, and that the remaining witnesses are all official witnesses.

Keeping in view the fact that the trial is nowhere near conclusion and the petitioner is in custody in the aforesaid circumstances

for more than one year now, but without making any comment upon the merits of the case, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 05, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No