

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14918 of 2017

Date of decision: August 16, 2017

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. M.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.04 dated 22.03.2017, under Sections 13(1)(d), 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and Sections 409, 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Amritsar, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 15.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 15.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 16, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No