

CWP No.12796 of 2004

Executive Engineer, UBDC, Madhopur, Gurdaspur and anr.

Vs.

Tarsem Singh and anr.

Present: Ms.Ambika Sood, DAG, Punjab for the petitioners.

Mr.S.P.Soi, Advocate for respondent No.1.

* * * *

It has been stated by learned counsel for respondent No.1 – workman that he was reinstated and salary was also paid to him and that however, dispute might be regarding payment of back-wages only. He has also stated that he is not in contact with respondent No.1 – workman. Notice was issued to respondent No.1, which has been received back with the report made by Sh.D.P.Gosain, B.M.S., Secretary Mohalla, through whom the petition was filed before the Labour Court that after decision of the case from Labour Court, he was not in contact with respondent No.1. Summon was also sent to respondent No.1 on his residential address given in the Memo of Parties and the same has been received back with the report, “no such person resides in the village.” Fresh address of respondent – workman is not known to the petitioners or to learned counsel for respondent No.1.

In view of these facts, the present writ petition is ordered to be consigned to the record room with liberty to the parties to get it revived, if so desire.

(R.K.Nehru)
President

(Ram Chand Gupta)
Member

02.02.2017

P.Seth