

119

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14824-2017

Date of Decision: May 19, 2017

Madhu and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Navinder Pal Singh, submits that though the petitioners were not found residing at the address given in the memo of parties, the statements of respondent No.4 and the father of petitioner No.1 have been recorded, to the effect that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners with each other.

Consequently, the petition stands disposed of, directing respondents No.2 and 3 to ensure that the life and personal liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected, within the framework of law.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE****May 19, 2017***Sunil Devi*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No